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The easiest curve implementing is a linear curve which can be defined with the help of two end points. While this motion is the easiest, it is also practically one of the least used kinds of motion because of how unreal it looks. Let us consider a situation where we have 4 points and each of the 4 points is connected by straight lines. Now, if we have an object moving along these curves, the motion will be very jerky at the turning points. This is very unlike how motion happens in the real world and this should be avoided in virtual world. To avoid these issues we should use a smooth curve developed from these points for motion path. There are different kinds of smooth curves such as the Bezier curve, Hermite curve, B-splines, Catmull-Rom spline etc. They all vary in their complication, continuity at control points, ease of controlling etc. The objective of this paper is to control the motion along smooth curve by using arc length parameterization of a curve. This paper explains how ease in ease out motion along a curve which is one of the core concepts in animation.
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1. Introduction

‘To animate’ means to give life to an inanimate object, image or drawing. Proper modelling and rendering of still images or objects can give life. In order to animate something, the animator has to be able to specify directly or indirectly how the ‘thing’ has to move through time and space. Computer graphics parameters can be modified as functions of time. There are a lot of parameters that can be used in animation such as location, direction, shape, pose, texture coordinates, light parameters, camera parameters etc.

We can modify parameters using key-frame techniques. The term ‘Key-framing’ can be traced back to traditional hand animation technique. Key-framing requires so that the animator specify key positions for the objects and a well thought out plan of how the moving objects are going to behave over time. The computer then automatically fills in the missing frames by smoothly interpolating between those positions.
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From the Figure 1, we took three key-frames at time t1, t2 and t3 for the object. Other key-frames are automatically created using interpolation method. Parameter of interpolation technique is distance that travelled by the object. We have to control interpolated values over time. We have to consider two things when interpolated objects such as location of objects and size of object. Smooth curve that means non-linear interpolation is better than straight line for realistic motion.

For interpolation, keys are the sample points of the curve that represents actual locations where curve should pass through all given sample points. From the figure 2, both curves are interpolating all key-frames. First curve is linear whereas second curve is non-linear and more realistic movement. Another curve is approximating where only two end points are interpolated. Other points are measuring the control the shape of the curve. Bezier and Hermite curve is one of the approximation curves that is shown in figure 3.

Smoothness of a curve is very important for a motion along curve. There is some continuity that can measure how curve will be smoothness. Positional continuity ($C^0$) is a small change in the value of the parameter always results in a small change in the value of the curve function. Tangential continuity ($C^1$) is a small change in the
value of the parameter always results in a small change in the first derivative of the curve function (Liang, X Zhang, C Zhong, L & Liu, Y 2005). Curvature continuity ($C^2$) is a small change in the value of the parameter always results in a small change in the second derivative of the curve function (Kui, F Yue, S Juan, W & Quanyuan, W 2009).

We can express a curve using three formats like parametric, explicit and implicit that are given below respectively.

\[
x = f(u) \quad \quad f(x, y) = 0 \quad \quad y = f(x)
\]

Parametric form of the equation are given below

\[
P(u) = au^3 + bu^2 + cu + d
\]

\[
P(u) = P_0b_0(u) + P_1b_1(u) + P_2b_2(u) + P_3b_3(u)
\]

\[
P(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} P_ib_i(u)
\]

Where $P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3$ are four control points that mentioned in figure 2 and

\[
b_0(u) = (1-u)^3
\]

\[
b_1(u) = 3u(1-u)^2
\]

\[
b_2(u) = 3u^2(1-u)
\]

\[
b_3(u) = u^3
\]

The matrix form of the above parametric equation is

\[
P(u) = \begin{bmatrix} u^3 & u^2 & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & -6 & 3 & 0 \\
-3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
P(u) = U^T M_b P
\]

Bezier curve must have two properties like $C^1$ continuity and convex hull with local control. Bezier curves and Hermite curves are highly similar. By constructing G1 quadratic Bézier curves that are satisfying given positions and arbitrary unit tangent vectors conditions (Gu, 2009). The key difference between the two curves lies in what the user needs to specify to get the curve. In a Bezier curve, the control points specify the shape of the curve by defining a convex hull for the curve. The above equation $P(u)$ and figure 2 shows how the parametric equation of the curve can be obtained if we know the 4 control points. (Ferdous, 2008) presents novel contributions to Bezier curve theory, with the introduction of quasi-Bezier curves, which seamlessly integrate localised control point information into the inherent global Bezier framework, with no increase in either the number of control point or order of computational complexity. A quadratic trigonometric Bézier curve with single shape parameter which is analogous to quadratic Bezier curve is introduced (Bashira,
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2012) that is adjusted the shape of the curve as desired, by simply altering the values of shape parameter, without changing the control polygon. In Hermite curve, the control points specify the end points and the tangents to the end points. So the curve is defined as the simplest curve that passes through the end points and is tangential to these end points.

\[ P(u) = \left( u^3 \quad u^2 \quad u \quad 1 \right) \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ -3 & 3 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \\ t_0 \\ t_1 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ P(u) = U^T M_{H} P \]

Parametric spatial curve used to define the route of an object. Arc-length computation is necessary for motion control along a curve. Actually it is controlling the speed at which the curve is traced. The analytic approach to computing arc length

\[ A(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)^2} \, dt \]

Arc length is a geometric integration (Guenter, 1990). Numerical approaches for arc-length computation that divides the range \([t_0, t]\) into intervals. There are two ways to compute arc length within each interval such as Gaussian quadrature and Simpson's rule. Arc length within range \([t_0, t]\) computed as the sum of arc length within each interval. We can compute arc length within one interval by using adaptive method. For computing arc-length, we need build a table that divide the parameter range into intervals (Walter, 1996), compute arc length within each interval, and build a table of correspondence between parameter and arc length. It has another option to map parameter to arc length which map parameter to an interval, finding arc-length value before this interval from the table and compute the arc length within part of the interval. This paper organized following by literature review, methodological analysis of works, findings, discussion of challenges with conclusion.

2. Related Works

The demand of motion is significantly increasing in animated movie industry. There are two threads for generating motion such as using examples and controllers. A system generates multiple motions (Arikan, 2002) that satisfied a given set of constraints. A knowledge-based methods approach that incorporate dynamics constraints and uses dynamics simulations to generate motion (Multon, 1999). An overview of the automatic motion planning (Latombe, 1999) and human walking or running can be found in (Bruderlin, 1996). An acting-based animation system for creating and editing character animation at interactive speeds are introduced by (Dontcheva, 2003). Motion analysis and interpolation synthesis (Li, Wiley, 2002, 1997) for articulated figures are researched by (Lee, Lamoure, 2000, 1996). There are many correlations between joint actions in human and animal motion. These correlations are especially clear for a repetitive motion like walking. There are some advantages of these relationships to synthesize degrees of freedom (Pullen, Kovar, 2002). A technique for interpolating between motions derived from live motion capture or produced through traditional animation tools introduced in (Rose, 1998). A new scheme for planning natural-looking locomotion of a biped figure (Choi, 2002)
are generated to facilitate rapid motion prototyping and task-level motion generation. They need to provide start and goal positions in a virtual environment, this scheme gives a sequence of motions to move from the start to the goal using a set of live-captured motion clips. A composite curve made by two quadratic Bezier curves to satisfy the endpoint constraints with both positions and directions of unit tangent vectors. The composite curve has the flexibility to obtain different shape (Gu, 2009). Several methods have been developed to approximate arc length parameterization, some of which are based on curve dependent tables of data, while others are not. It is difficult to obtain a meaningful comparison for methods which are not of the same class. In some applications such as graphical simulation and animation, where the animated object is to appear at approximately evenly spaced intervals for smooth appearance, it is the performance rather than the accuracy that is of importance (Madi, 2004). The simplest method may be called the basic parametric flow (BPF), since a number of N points are produced by uniform parameter spacing. Although the method is simple and fast, it is well known that it is not suitable for generating points along the arc length of a curve (Madi, 2004). The method described by Sharpe and Thorne can accurately produce arc-lengths (Sharpe and Thorne, 1982). However, it has a high computational cost associated with “extracting” the corresponding parametric value. Optimal Parameterization (OP) is mathematically a rather intricate process. The given polynomial curve Q(t) is first transformed into an equivalent rational form by transforming the parameter t.

3. Methodological Analysis

One of the most common kinds of motion that we see in animation and robotics is ease in ease out motion. We are considered only the motion of a round object. We concentrate on showing ease in ease out motion and demonstrating its effects on motion.

3.1 Distance-time Function

Distance-time function is usually monotonic in time. This function will be $C^1$ continuous. Velocity will be changed smoothly over time. If suddenly change the velocity of moving objects, then this curve is not $C^1$ continuous. It is easy to control the movement of object based on the velocity.

$$\text{traveled\ distance}(t) = v(t) \cdot \Delta t$$

Where $v(t)$ is velocity at time $t$ and $\Delta t$ is time step.

We have to normalize the time $t$ that is defined in the range of 0.0 and 1.0 and distance is normalized in the range of 0.0 to 1.0 with $C^1$ continuity.

3.1.1 Ease Function Without Constant Speed

Ease function is the motion control function. It is starting slowly, speed up, and then slow down. It is used sine curve which is mapping the time $t = 0.0$ to 1.0 into the domain and $\Theta = -\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$. We are mapping the range of the sine function -1.0 to 1.0 into the distance range of 0.0 to 1.0.
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Figure 4: Sine Signal is working as Ease Function without Constant Speed

From the velocity function, we can see from figure 4 that using this ease function, we will never have a constant speed over any interval.

3.1.2 Ease Function with Constant Speed

It is combined a sine curve and a line segment. There are three sections. Section A works as acceleration of sine curve, section B works as constant velocity using line segment and section C is working as deceleration of sine curve. The slope of junction point is 1.0.

Section A: Acceleration

Time \( t = 0.0 \) to \( k_1 \) into the domain \( \Theta = -\pi/2 \) to 0.0
The range of the sine function -1.0 to 0.0 into the distance range of 0.0 to \( k_1/(\pi/2) \)

Distance-time function:

\[
s(t) = \frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} \left( \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{t}{k_1} - 1 \right) \right) + 1 \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq k_1
\]

Velocity function:

\[
v(t) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{t}{k_1} - 1 \right) \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq k_1
\]

Section B: Constant Speed

Line that passes through the locations \( \left( k_1, \frac{k_1}{\pi/2} \right) \) and \( \left( k_2, \frac{k_1}{\pi/2} + k_2 - k_1 \right) \)

Equation of a line segment

\[
s(t) = mt + c
\]

Distance-time function

\[
s(t) = t + \frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} - k_1, \quad k_1 \leq t \leq k_2
\]

Velocity function

\[
v(t) = 1.0
\]
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Section C: Deceleration

Time \( t = k_2 \) to 1.0 into the domain \( \Theta = 0.0 \) to \( \pi/2 \) and the range of the sine function 0.0 to 1.0 into the distance range of \( \frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} + k_2 - k_1 \) to \( \frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} + k_2 - k_1 + \frac{(1.0-k_2)}{(\pi/2)} \)

Distance-time function

\[
s(t) = \frac{1.0-k_2}{(\pi/2)} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{t-k_2}{1.0-k_2} \right) + \frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} + k_2 - k_1, \quad k_2 \leq t \leq 1.0
\]

Velocity function

\[
v(t) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{t-k_2}{1.0-k_2} \right), \quad k_2 \leq t \leq 1.0
\]

Total distance traveled is not 1.0.

\[
\text{total distance} = \frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} + k_2 - k_1 + \frac{1.0-k_2}{(\pi/2)}
\]

Normalized distance traveled ease (\( \ell \)),

\[
\text{ease}(t) = \frac{s(t)}{\frac{k_1}{(\pi/2)} + k_2 - k_1 + \frac{1.0-k_2}{(\pi/2)}}
\]

3.2 Velocity-Time Function

Velocity is more intuitive than distance. It is easier to plan a motion by specifying speed up, slow down and constant velocity. Slope indicates acceleration and area under curve indicates distance traveled. We are used normalized time and distance. We had seen that how discontinuity in the path gave jerky and unrealistic motion. Similarly, if a moving body suddenly reaches its max velocity from an initial velocity of 0, this motion seems very unrealistic. A more realistic motion would be, if the body accelerated to that max velocity and instead of stopping suddenly after movement, it should slowly decelerate to a stop (Steinbuch, 1998). This kind of motion will have a trapezoidal velocity time function as shown in figure 5.

Figure 5: Ease Using Velocity-Time Function

\[\begin{align*}
A &\quad v(t) \\
B &\quad v \\
C &\quad v
\end{align*}\]
As can be seen from the figure, there are also three parts to the motion A, B and C which refers to the period of acceleration, constant speed and deceleration respectively. The shape of the trapezoid is defined by three parameters, \( t_1, t_2 \) and \( v \). The term \( v \) is the maximum velocity; \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are normalized times that define how long A, B and C last. Since the distance function of the object moving with this velocity is assumed to be normalized, the trapezoid ends at \( t = 1 \) and starts at \( t = 0 \). The value of \( v \) (the maximum velocity) is between \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \). All these values are interdependent and if two of them are specified the third can be obtained by using one of the following equations:

\[
t_1 = 1.0 + t_2 - \frac{2.0}{v} \quad t_2 = \frac{2.0}{v} + t_1 - 1.0 \quad v = \frac{2.0}{1.0 + t_2 - t_1}
\]

Once the values of all three parameters are obtained, we can find the value of the normalized distance using the following equations:

For \( 0 \leq t \leq t_1 \):
\[
s(t) = v \cdot \frac{t^2}{2t_1}
\]

For \( t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \):
\[
s(t) = v \cdot \frac{t_1}{2} + v \cdot (t - t_1)
\]

For \( t_2 \leq t \leq 0 \):
\[
s(t) = v \cdot \frac{t_1}{2} + v \cdot (t_2 - t_1) + \left( v - \frac{v}{2} \cdot \frac{t_2 - t_1}{1.0 - t_2} \right) \cdot (t - t_2)
\]

The smooth motion of a round object along the specified path can easily be traced using these three equations. It is possible to modify motion (Dontcheva, 2003) by changing the control points of curve. But we still have one problem remaining. We obtained distance from the motion along the path equations are normalized distances. These need to be converted into the parameter which can be used in the equation so that we can find its position on the curve. In other words, we need to convert the value of \( s \) obtained into a value of \( u \) to be used in the curves. An efficient method for doing this would be to use an arc length table.

### 3.3 Controlling Motion

Specifically, a distance-time function \( s(t) \) is controlling the movement along the curve path. \( s(t) \) determines how far the object should travel at a time \( t \). We specified a distance-time function \( s(t) \) and velocity-time function \( v(t) \) to control the movement along the curve path (Verscheure, 2006). We can control a motion using \( s(t) \).

- **Step 1:** Starting time \( t = 0 \)
- **Step 2:** At \( t \), determine \( u \) such that \( L_{arc}(u) = s(t) \)
- **Step 3:** Put the object at \( P(u) \)
- **Step 4:** Increment the time step, \( t = t + \Delta t \)
- **Step 5:** Repeat until \( t = t_{end} \)

### 3.4 Arc Length Parameterization

Generally, the relationship between the changes in parameter \( u \) and the distance traveled is non-linear. It is very difficult for animator to predict and control the speed.
of an object by altering the parametric value of the curve and difficult to attain a constant speed. Parameterizing a curve depends on the arc length of the curve. There are two possible ways (analytic method, forward differencing) to find arc length and evaluate last parameter using first parameter.

- Given the parameters \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \), determine the arc length between \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \).
- Given an arc length \( s \) and parameter \( u_1 \), evaluate \( u_2 \) such that the arc length between \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) is \( s \)

\[
S = \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \Delta S = \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{dP}{du} \, du
\]

Where, Parametric curve \( P(u) \), arc length from a point \( P(u_1) \) to another point \( P(u_2) \), \( dP/du \) tangent vector, integrate the length of the tangent vector times \( du \). Consider the arc length \( \Delta S \) between point \( P(u) \) to another point \( P(u+du) \) that approximately straight line. Approximate the arc length works as the length of the line. Calculating \( S \) requires evaluating the arc length integral. (Guenter, 1990) suggest using an adaptive application of Gaussian quadrature. The results presented here are found by a similar method, using integration. Instead of applying the integral to the entire curve, it is applied to each polynomial segment of the curve, and the results are summed. A parameterized arc length table is used to link distance travelled \( s \) to the value of the parameter \( u \). We can better maintain (Gleicher, 2001) the dynamics of the motion using parameterization. Sampling points at regular intervals of \( u \) are taken and the value of \( s \) for each of these parameter values is found. For example, if we decide on a resolution of 0.05, then values of \( s \) for \( u=0 \), \( u=0.05 \), \( u=0.10 \) are found and stored in the form of a table. So once we obtain the value of the total distance travelled, we can approximate the value of \( u \) for that distance by finding the closest value of \( u \) from the table and then approximating using ratios and proportions. The figure 6 represents the arc length parameterization procedures.

**Figure 6: Steps on Arc Length Parameterization**

1. Compute the arc length \( L_{arc}(u_1) \) at \( u_1 \)
2. Find the index of the largest arc length that is less than \( s = L_{arc}(u_2) \)
3. Compute \( u_2 \) by linear interpolation

It is intuitive from the fact that we use linear interpolation that the accuracy of this method is highly dependent on resolution used.

### 4. Experimental Results

Our developed system has been made in such a way that user can intuitively use as application. Helpful tool, tips, texts and status messages have been incorporated to improve usability. There are four major panels in our system

- The menu bar on top which just has an option to see details of the system and to exit.
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- A status bar to see what result your current action has done and to give advice on what is wrong.
- A button panel that helps the user chose options
- A drawing board where all the drawing and animation takes place.

By doing these steps the user can first take a look at the curve he constructed. He can then setup a motion. Once the motion is set up and started, the user can see the path followed by the ball and he can also see the rate at which the velocity is changing on a graph that is generated. Our developed system shows smooth motion along a created path for a round object in figure 7 and velocity-time graph in figure 8.

**Figure 7: Different Curve Construction Interfaces**

**Figure 8 Velocity-Time Graphs for Smooth Motion along Constructed Curve**

5. Conclusion

There were some interesting problems and challenges that we had to tackle while trying to develop a motion along a curve. We faced a challenge to design Hermite curve. The key difference of Hermite curve from a Bezier curve is in the way that it is specified. For creating a useful user interface, the best design have been for the user to first click on a starting and ending point and then interactively draw the tangents from the two points by dragging the mouse pointer. Besides being slightly more
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difficult to implement, we have to create entirely different interfaces for a Hermite
curve and a Bezier curve. Then the system would be easier to access for a first time
user. There was another problem that the moving object would not reach the last
control point (the end point). Instead it was stopping slightly behind this point. When a
tweak was added to make it just end up at that point, the movement became jerky
and unnatural. We realized that the reason for the incomplete and jerky motion was
that the arc length resolution was too large. When we changed to a smaller value of
resolution the movement became very smooth and natural. For developing this
system, we used Java in Netbeans IDE and could be run on a computer where
installed java any version. This paper will be helpful for researchers who want to work
on realistic motion analysis. We analysed only two types of smooth curves. In
future we will work with shape motion along different types of curves.
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